

Changing Perception of Avian Influenza Risk, Hong Kong, 2006–2010

To the Editor: Since 1997, routine surveillance has demonstrated periodic reemergence of influenza A (H5N1) viruses (avian influenza) in retail markets in Hong Kong, People's Republic of China (1,2), leading to stepped implementation (progressively implementing more measures over time) of measures to reduce human exposure to influenza subtype H5N1. From 2006 through November 2008, progressive importation and farm restrictions and curtailed retail capacity cut Hong's live poultry supply in half, from 40,000 to <20,000 chickens daily (3,4).

To determine whether the decline in the Hong Kong live poultry supply was paralleled by declines in avian influenza risk perceptions and protective hygiene behavior, we conducted a telephone survey. During December 2005–March 2006, we recruited 1,760 adults ≥ 17 years of age. We randomly called

households and then interviewed 1 adult (randomly selected by Kish grid) within each household (5,6). Ordering by age and starting from the oldest eligible member in the household, 1 selected member was then invited to participate in the survey. Of 1,613 (92%) respondents consenting to follow-up survey, 680 (42%) were resurveyed during July–August 2010. The same items were used in both surveys to measure avian influenza risk perceptions, personal live poultry exposures, and hygiene practices.

Overall, 461 (68%) respondents completed the initial (2006) and follow-up (2010) surveys. Compared with respondents lost to follow-up, these 461 respondents were more likely to be female, slightly older, and married; they were comparable with the general population (7), except more respondents were older (data not shown).

Respondents perceived that their likelihood of contracting avian influenza was the same in 2010 as in 2006, but they reported worrying less about contracting avian influenza and risks from buying live poultry in 2010 than in 2006 (Table).

When categorized into “unchanged,” “increasing,” and “declining” in 2010 relative to 2006, these groups were comparable demographically, except younger respondents more often perceived declining likelihood of contracting avian influenza (odds ratio [OR] 2.30, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.25–4.24 for those 18–34 years of age); declining worry about contracting avian influenza (OR 2.01, 95% CI 1.10–3.66 for those 18–34 years of age; OR 1.87, 95% CI 1.13–3.09 for those 35–54 years of age), and declining risk from buying live poultry (OR 2.31, 95% CI 1.33–4.01 for those 35–54 years of age); respondents who had completed secondary education were more likely to report declining worry about contracting avian influenza (OR 1.90, 95% CI 1.09–3.31).

The percentage of respondents who reported household buying of live poultry declined from 73% in 2006 to 41% in 2010 (Table); 22% of nonbuying households in 2006 were again buying in 2010, and 52% of those buying in 2006 had stopped buying in 2010. After adjustment for demographics, perceived increased risk from buying was associated

Table. Changes in perception of risk for avian influenza, live poultry exposure, and hygiene practices, Hong Kong, 2006–2010*

Perception or practice	2006 survey	2010 survey	Differences, p value
Risk perception			
Perceived likelihood (likely/very likely/certain)	18	14	0.201†
Worry (worry a bit/a lot/all the time)	26	21	<0.001†
Perceived risk from buying live poultry (somewhat agree/agree/strongly agree)	42	31	<0.001†
Live poultry exposure			
Buying live poultry (yes)	73	41	0.009‡
Frequency of purchase (at least monthly or more frequently)	50	15	<0.001†
Purchase rate among buyers (chickens/household/y)	14.4	11.4	
Frequency of touch among buyers (sometimes/usually/always)	8	6	0.326§
Averaged touch rate among buyers	0.05	0.05	
Averaged exposure among buyers (exposure/household/y)	0.72	0.57	0.011†
Personal hygiene practices			
Frequency of washing hands (at least hourly)	49	44	0.023†
Covering mouth when sneezing or coughing (usually/always)	91	85	0.003†
Washing hands after sneezing, coughing, or touching nose (usually/always)	72	75	0.027†
Using liquid soap when washing hands (usually/always)	63	74	<0.001†
Using serving utensils when dining with others (usually/always)	40	62	<0.001†

*Total population surveyed = 461. Values are % unless otherwise stated.

†Wilcoxon signed rank test.

‡McNemar test.

§ χ^2 test.

with not buying live poultry in 2010 (OR 0.34, 95% CI 0.19–0.60).

In contrast, rates of touching poultry during buying (5%) were unchanged (Table). Using a standardized estimate (5), we determined that purchasing households bought on average 11.4 live chickens/household/year in 2010 versus 14.4 in 2006 (Table). Purchase rate \times touch rate gave an estimated average of 0.57 exposures/household/year in 2010, a 21% decline from 0.72 exposures/household/year in 2006 ($p = 0.011$) (Table).

Substantial improvement was noted for most personal hygiene practices, except frequencies for daily handwashing and covering the mouth when sneezing or coughing were each lower in 2010 than in 2006 (Table). Changed hygiene practices were independent of demographic factors except that male respondents more often reported less covering of the mouth when sneezing or coughing (OR 1.60, 95% CI 1.00–2.56) and less use of liquid soap for handwashing (OR 1.64, 95% CI 1.04–2.60); immigrants were more likely to have reduced daily handwashing frequency (OR 1.58, 95% CI 1.04–2.41). Only perceived declining worry about contracting avian influenza was significantly associated with declining frequency of handwashing after sneezing, coughing, or touching the nose (OR 1.61, 95% CI 1.04–2.47).

The 21% decline in exposure from less buying, but not touching, of live poultry suggests that limiting poultry availability, but not health education efforts, was responsible. Perceptions of avian influenza risk and worry also mostly declined, as did frequency of some personal hygiene practices, including handwashing, particularly among younger male and immigrant respondents. Although our previous studies suggest that public health education might have contributed to an \approx 43% reduction in rate of touching when buying live poultry in Hong

Kong from 2004 to 2006 (5,6), the prolonged warning that a future pandemic is likely to be sparked by influenza A (H5N1) viruses is likely to cause pandemic fatigue in the public and therefore would not change their perception of avian influenza risk and associated protective behavior. As exposure risk has declined (as a result of government policy), so has perceived infection risk also declined, paradoxically increasing population vulnerability to other influenza viruses through reductions in preventive hygiene behavior.

Acknowledgments

We thank Ella Ho for coordinating the data collection of the 2006 survey and HKU Public Opinion Programme for assistance in administering the 2010 follow-up survey.

The 2006 and 2010 surveys were supported by the Research Fund for the Control of Infectious Disease, Food and Health Bureau, Government of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (grant nos. 02040172 and 09080732, respectively). This work was also supported by the Area of Excellence Scheme of the Hong Kong University Grants Committee (grant no. AoE/M-12/06).

**Qiuyan Liao,
Benjamin J. Cowling,
Wing Tak Lam,
and Richard Fielding**

Author affiliation: University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, People's Republic of China

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1712.110298>

References

1. Sims LD, Ellis TM, Liu KK, Dyrting K, Wong H, Peiris M, et al. Avian influenza in Hong Kong 1997–2002. *Avian Dis.* 2003;47:832–8. doi:10.1637/0005-2086-47.s3.832
2. Lau EH, Leung YH, Zhang LJ, Cowling BJ, Mak SP, Guan Y, et al. Effect of interventions on influenza A (H9N2) isolation in Hong Kong's live poultry markets, 1999–2005. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2007;13:1340–7.

3. Government of Hong Kong; Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department. Press releases [cited 2010 Dec 22]. http://www.afcd.gov.hk/english/publications/publications_press/publications_press.html
4. Government of Hong Kong. Prevention of avian influenza (press releases) [cited 2010 Dec 22]. <http://www.info.gov.hk/info/flu/eng/news.htm>
5. Fielding R, Bich TH, Quang LN, Lam WW, Leung GM, Tien TQ, et al. Live poultry exposure, Hong Kong and Hanoi, 2006. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2007;13:1065–7.
6. Liao Q, Lam WW, Jiang CQ, Ho EY, Liu YM, Zhang WS, et al. Avian influenza risk perception and live poultry purchase in Guangzhou, China, 2006. *Risk Anal.* 2009;29:416–24. doi:10.1111/j.1539-6924.2008.01157.x
7. Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. Statistical tables of the 2006 population by census [cited 2011 Jul 12]. <http://www.byccensus2006.gov.hk/en/data/data3/index.htm>

Address for correspondence: Richard Fielding, School of Public Health, The University of Hong Kong, 21 Sassoon Rd, Pokfulam, Hong Kong, People's Republic of China; email: fielding@hku.hk

Bat Rabies and Human Postexposure Prophylaxis, New York, USA

To the Editor: The New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) assessed the effect of terrestrial rabies on human postexposure prophylaxis (PEP) during the first 10-year period of computerized reporting (1993–2002) (1). We assessed the effect of bat rabies during the same period, when guidelines for PEP were changing (2). NYSDOH developed local health department and public education programs to reduce bat encounters, increase testing of bats involved in