
Economic Costs of Measles Outbreak in the Netherlands, 2013–2014

Anita W.M. Suijkerbuijk, Tom Woudenberg,
Susan J.M. Hahné, Laura Nic Lochlainn,
Hester E. de Melker, Wilhelmina L.M. Ruijs,
Anna K. Lugné

In 2013 and 2014, the Netherlands experienced a measles outbreak in orthodox Protestant communities with low measles–mumps–rubella vaccination coverage. Assessing total outbreak costs is needed for public health outbreak preparedness and control. Total costs of this outbreak were an estimated \$4.7 million.

During May 2013–March 2014, the Netherlands was affected by a large measles outbreak (1). The outbreak began in the center of the country in an orthodox Protestant community and spread mainly to regions with low vaccination coverage. Overall, the Netherlands has high measles–mumps–rubella (MMR) vaccination coverage, with >95% coverage for the first dose of MMR for children. However, some orthodox Protestant and anthroposophic communities opt out of childhood vaccination programs on religious grounds or on the basis of personal beliefs (2). In addition to the effects of disease on a society, measles outbreaks have economic consequences, including direct medical costs and productivity losses. Moreover, a measles outbreak demands a range of responses from the National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM) and municipal public health services (MHS). Assessing outbreak costs, including costs of response activities by public health authorities, can help in planning for future outbreaks and in optimizing allocation of public resources. Recent research on measles outbreak costs in industrialized countries is scarce and has addressed hospitalizations costs (3), costs of imported cases of measles (4–7) or small outbreaks (8,9). We assessed the economic costs of a large measles outbreak in the Netherlands.

The Study

All physicians and laboratories are mandated to report measles to MHSs in the Netherlands. Each MHS records

Author affiliations: National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, the Netherlands (A.W.M. Suijkerbuijk, T. Woudenberg, S.J.M. Hahné, L. Nic Lochlainn, H.E. de Melker, W.L.M. Ruijs, A.K. Lugné); European Programme for Intervention Epidemiology Training (EPIET), European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, Stockholm, Sweden (L. Nic Lochlainn)

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2111.150410>

patient information in a national database, which includes information on age, postal code, date of symptoms, complications, hospitalization, and source of infection. Notifications of measles cases were used to assess medical costs and productivity losses (online Technical Appendix, <http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/21/11/15-0410-Techapp.pdf>). Information on additional serologic tests and extra vaccinations among health care workers in hospitals were obtained from a study on the implementation of measles guidelines for hospitals (online Technical Appendix). Information about vaccinations of infants and older unvaccinated children in response to the outbreak was retrieved from the national immunization register. We interviewed staff at MHSs and the RIVM to assess the amount of personnel time related to outbreak response activities (online Technical Appendix).

During the epidemic, 2,700 measles cases were reported, mostly among children 5–14 years of age (Table 1). In 329 patients, complications such as otitis media, pneumonia, and encephalitis developed. One child died from measles complications, and 181 patients were hospitalized. One patient with encephalitis spent 8 months in a rehabilitation clinic. Of patients who consulted a physician but were not hospitalized, 199 experienced complications, mostly otitis media (104 patients) or pneumonia (75 patients). Total estimated cost for direct health care was \$1,255,718 (mean \$465/case). An additional \$365,885 (\$136/case) was attributed to productivity losses and informal child care losses (online Technical Appendix Table 1). In 2013, most (85%) responding hospitals in the Netherlands offered a serologic test to employees to ensure that they were sufficiently protected against measles (online Technical Appendix). Employees identified as being at risk for measles infection were offered an MMR vaccination. On average, 80 serologic tests led to 63 vaccinations per hospital for a total estimated cost of \$222,203 (online Technical Appendix Table 2).

At the start of the outbreak, RIVM convened a national outbreak management team to discuss a strategy regarding targeted vaccination campaigns for infants living in communities with low vaccination coverage and for previously unvaccinated persons. A total of 6,652 infants received a complimentary MMR vaccination. Among children 18 months–19 years of age, 6,948 received an MMR vaccination during July 2013–March 2014. Costs for these vaccinations were \$299,840. During this outbreak, the RIVM also coordinated outbreak control, conducted enhanced surveillance, and responded to extensive media attention

Table 1. Estimated direct health care costs during measles outbreak, the Netherlands, 2013–2014*

Type of cost	Total no. patients	Unit cost, \$	Average health care utilization	Total cost, \$
Physician consultation				
Uncomplicated measles, no. visits	2,320	37.35	0.2	17,330
Uncomplicated measles, no. phone calls	2,320	18.07	0.1	4,192
Hospitalizations, no. cases	181	37.35	1.0	6,760
Other complicated measles, no. cases	199	37.35	2.0	14,865
Treatment for pneumonia in general practice, no. cases†	75	16.02	1.0	1,202
Length of hospitalization, d				
General ward	174	600	4.6	480,240
Intensive care unit	7	2,866	13.1	262,812
Rehabilitation	1	447	245	109,515
Serologic test results, no. cases‡				
Positive	139	21.37	1.0	2,970
Negative	854	21.37	1.0	18,250
DNA/RNA amplification, no. cases‡				
Positive	765	251.55	1.0	192,436
Negative	577	251.55	1.0	145,144
Total				1,255,718

*Costs are calculated in 2013 US dollars (\$). Total number of measles cases = 2,700. Total cost differs from sum of category costs because of rounding.
†IgM.
‡PCR.

(online Technical Appendix). Total costs for outbreak response activities by the RIVM were an estimated \$698,280 (\$259/case). In addition, we collected information from 6 MHSs that together had recorded more than half of all notified measles cases nationally. Their response activities included registration and processing of cases, vaccination activities, and advising of local authorities, professionals, and the general population (online Technical Appendix). Total estimated costs for all MHSs was \$1,852,470 (\$686/case).

The MHSs incurred most of the costs of the outbreak, followed by costs for hospitalizations (Table 2). Costs of outbreak response activities by the RIVM were also considerable. Costs classified as other medical costs (i.e., consultations with general practitioners), productivity losses, and costs for vaccination campaigns were among the lowest (Table 2; online Technical Appendix Table 3).

Conclusions

The measles outbreak occurring in the Netherlands during 2013–2014 was associated with substantial costs of ≈\$4.7 million (€3.9 million), or 0.0042% of overall health care costs (\$113 billion in 2013) in the Netherlands. The 2,700 reported measles cases during this outbreak resulted in an estimated \$1,739 per case. Outbreak management costs were the primary cost, probably because of demands for expert advice, response to extensive media attention, registration of notified cases, and more surveillance activities than usual.

Despite being substantial, the outbreak costs in our study are underestimated. Because of data limitations, we were unable to estimate normal human immunoglobulin costs, patients' traveling costs, or costs of vaccinations of adults or of long-term complications of disease. Also, reported cases in other countries have been linked to this

outbreak, including Canada, United States, and Belgium; associated costs for cases exported to other countries are not included in our calculations. Furthermore, surveillance systems are affected by a degree of underreporting; therefore, uncertainty exists about the “true” economic costs of disease (10). In a previous measles outbreak in the Netherlands, the estimated true number of measles cases was ≈10 times the number of cases reported in the surveillance system (11). Moreover, only 47% of hospitalized cases in the previous outbreak were reported (12). Applying these data to our results, the estimated total outbreak costs would be ≈\$0.9 million higher. Further research into the extent of underreporting in this outbreak is planned.

In Australia, the public health unit cost for responding to a single case of measles was \$1,701 (7), a similar amount to our results. In the United States, costs of containing an outbreak were estimated at \$6,180 per case. Additional US studies report that containment of a single imported measles case resulted in even higher costs per case (5,6). Explanations for the higher costs in the United States

Table 2. Distribution of costs of measles outbreak, the Netherlands, 2013–2014*

Category	Costs, \$	% of total costs
MHS	1,852,470	39.5
Hospitalization	852,567	18.2
RIVM	698,280	14.9
Production losses	365,885	7.8
Laboratory tests	358,801	7.6
Vaccination of children	299,840	6.4
Vaccination of health care workers	222,203	4.7
General practitioner consultation	44,350	0.9
Total	4,694,395	100

*Costs are calculated in 2013 US dollars (\$). Total cost differs slightly from sum of category costs because of rounding. MHS, municipal public health services; RIVM, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, the Netherlands.

include more extensive contact tracing and higher medical care expenses.

The 2013–2014 measles outbreak posed considerable logistical challenges for MHS staff. Registration of reported cases contributed especially to the increased workload and costs created by this measles outbreak. To reduce this workload during a large outbreak, information considered to be critical for review could be collected for most patients, who usually recover within a few days or weeks, while more detailed information should continue to be collected for patients with complications or serious illness.

Measles substantially affects patients' quality of life (13) and their ability to perform their usual daily activities. Complications resulting from measles, such as pneumonia, encephalitis, and subacute sclerosing panencephalitis, sometimes occur a few years after the illness (14). Complications from measles also affect quality of life and incur high financial costs, as shown in the extensive rehabilitation care needed by a patient with encephalitis that resulted from this outbreak. In the Netherlands, because religious arguments affect vaccination rates (15), elimination of measles will be challenging. Measles outbreaks are expected to continue to cause substantial effects from disease and economic costs. To prepare for new outbreaks, medical costs, productivity losses, and containment costs should be considered.

Acknowledgments

We thank the public health nurses and doctors of the MHSs Zeeland, Flevoland, Gelderland-Midden, Zuid-Holland Zuid, Midden Nederland, and Gelderland Zuid and Margreet te Wierik and Toos Waegemaekers for providing detailed information on local outbreak response activities. We also thank Rob van Binnendijk, Irmgard Zonnenberg, Lydia Fievez, and Anouk Urbanus for providing data on response activities at RIVM.

Ms. Suijkerbuijk is a health care researcher in health economics at the National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (Centre for Nutrition, Prevention and Health Services) in the Netherlands. She has reviewed cost-effectiveness of interventions such as bariatric surgery and addiction care and has conducted cost studies for sexually transmitted infections and respiratory diseases.

References

- Knol MJ, Urbanus AT, Swart EM, Mollema L, Ruijs WJ, van Binnendijk RS, et al. Large ongoing measles outbreak in a religious community in the Netherlands since May 2013. *Euro Surveill*. 2013;18:pii=20580.
- Ruijs WL, Hautvast JL, van Ansem WJ, Akkermans RP, van't Spijker K, Hulscher ME, et al. Measuring vaccination coverage in a hard to reach minority. *Eur J Public Health*. 2012; 22:359–64. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckr081>
- Filia A, Brenna A, Pana A, Cavallaro GM, Massari M, Ciofi degli Atti ML. Health burden and economic impact of measles-related hospitalizations in Italy in 2002–2003. *BMC Public Health*. 2007;7:169. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-7-169>
- Chen SY, Anderson S, Kutty PK, Lugo F, McDonald M, Rota PA, et al. Health care-associated measles outbreak in the United States after an importation: challenges and economic impact. *J Infect Dis*. 2011;203:1517–25. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jir115>
- Coleman MS, Garbat-Welch L, Burke H, Weinberg M, Humbaugh K, Tindall A, et al. Direct costs of a single case of refugee-imported measles in Kentucky. *Vaccine*. 2012;30:317–21. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.10.091>
- Dayan GH, Ortega-Sanchez IR, LeBaron CW, Quinlisk MP. The cost of containing one case of measles: the economic impact on the public health infrastructure—Iowa, 2004. *Pediatrics*. 2005;116:e1–4. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2004-2512>
- Flego KL, Belshaw DA, Sheppard V, Weston KM. Impacts of a measles outbreak in western Sydney on public health resources. *Commun Dis Intell Q Rep*. 2013;37:E240–5.
- Ortega-Sanchez IR, Vijayaraghavan M, Barskey AE, Wallace GS. The economic burden of sixteen measles outbreaks on United States public health departments in 2011. *Vaccine*. 2014;32:1311–7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.10.012>
- Parker AA, Staggs W, Dayan GH, Ortega-Sanchez IR, Rota PA, Lowe L, et al. Implications of a 2005 measles outbreak in Indiana for sustained elimination of measles in the United States. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;355:447–55. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa060775>
- Gibbons CL, Mangan MJ, Plass D, Havelaar AH, Brooke RJ, Kramarz P, et al. Measuring underreporting and underascertainment in infectious disease datasets: a comparison of methods. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14:147. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-147>
- van Isterdael CE, van Essen GA, Kuyvenhoven MM, Hoes AW, Stalman WA, de Wit NJ. Measles incidence estimations based on the notification by general practitioners were suboptimal. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2004;57:633–7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2003.11.012>
- Van Den Hof S, Smit C, Van Steenberghe JE, De Melker HE. Hospitalizations during a measles epidemic in the Netherlands, 1999 to 2000. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2002;21:1146–50. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00006454-200212000-00012>
- Thorrington D, Ramsay M, van Hoek AJ, Edmunds WJ, Vivancos R, Bukasa A, et al. The effect of measles on health-related quality of life: a patient-based survey. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9:e105153. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0105153>
- Perry RT, Halsey NA. The clinical significance of measles: a review. *J Infect Dis*. 2004;189(Suppl 1):S4–16. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/377712>
- Ruijs WL, Hautvast JL, van Ijzendoorn G, van Ansem WJ, van der Velden K, Hulscher ME. How orthodox protestant parents decide on the vaccination of their children: a qualitative study. *BMC Public Health*. 2012;12:408. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-408>

Address for correspondence: Anita W.M. Suijkerbuijk, RIVM, PO Box 1, 3720 BA Bilthoven, the Netherlands; email: anita.suijkerbuijk@rivm.nl