#### **Acknowledgments**

We thank Jun Young Choi, Debra Harragan Jokisch, Eric Konadu, Marian Memmer, Joseph Pilz, Huimin Wu for their technical assistance and Carl Urban for manuscript review.

The sequenced isolate in this manuscript was submitted to GenBank (accession no. CP074075). Details of submission are SUBID SUB9558401; BioProject PRJ-NA726345: BioSample SAMN18928235; Organism Mycobacterium tuberculosis 2.2.

#### **About the Authors**

Dr. Wang is a postdoctoral fellow working in the Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Molecular Genetics, University of California, Los Angeles. His research focuses on immunology, virology, and molecular diagnostics. Dr. Liu is the laboratory director for Microbiology, Immunology and Molecular Diagnostics, Department of Pathology and Clinical Laboratories, New York-Presbyterian Queens Hospital. His research focus is developing rapid molecular assays for pathogen diagnosis.

#### References

- Bazzi AM, Abulhamayel Y, Rabaan AA, Al-Tawfiq JA. The impact of the coexistence of *Mycobacterium avium* with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* on the result of GeneXpert and MGIT susceptibility test. J Infect Public Health. 2020;13:827– 9. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2020.01.006
- Sarro YDS, Kone B, Diarra B, Kumar A, Kodio O, Fofana DB, et al. Simultaneous diagnosis of tuberculous and non-tuberculous mycobacterial diseases: time for a better patient management. Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2018;3:1–8. https://doi.org/10.15761/CMID.1000144
- 3. Sohn S, Wang S, Shi H, Park S, Lee S, Park KT. Mixed infection of *Mycobacterium abscessus* subsp. *abscessus* and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in the lung. Korean J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2017;50:50–3. https://doi.org/10.5090/kjtcs.2017.50.1.50
- Ishiekwene C, Subran M, Ghitan M, Kuhn-Basti M, Chapnick E, Lin YS. Case report on pulmonary disease due to coinfection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Mycobacterium abscessus*: difficulty in diagnosis. Respir Med Case Rep. 2017;20:123–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmcr.2017.01.011
- Feng Z, Bai X, Wang T, Garcia C, Bai A, Li L, et al. Differential responses by human macrophages to infection with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and non-tuberculous mycobacteria. Front Microbiol. 2020;11:116. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00116

Address for correspondence: Dakai Liu and William H. Rodgers, Department of Pathology and Clinical Laboratories, New York Presbyterian Queens Hospital, 56-45 Main St., Flushing, NY 11355, USA; email: dal9165@nyp.org and whr9001@nyp.org; Genhong Cheng, Department of Microbiology, Immunology & Molecular Genetics, University of California, Los Angeles, 615 Charles Young Dr S, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA; email: gcheng@mednet.ucla.edu

# Fatal Co-infections with SARS-CoV-2 and Legionella pneumophila, England

Victoria J. Chalker, Hugh Adler, Robert Ball, Falguni Naik, Jessica Day, Baharak Afshar, Amit K. Amin

Author affiliations: United Kingdom Health Security Agency, London, UK (V.J. Chalker, F. Naik, B. Afshar); St. Helen's & Knowsley Teaching Hospitals National Health Service Trust, St. Helen's, UK (H. Adler, R. Ball); London Northwest University Healthcare National Health Service Trust, London (A.K. Amin)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2711.4121

Both Legionella pneumophila and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) can cause pneumonia. L. pneumophila is acquired from water sources, sometimes in healthcare settings. We report 2 fatal cases of L. pneumophila and SARS-CoV-2 co-infection in England. Clinicians should be aware of possible L. pneumophila infections among SARS-CoV-2 patients.

Legionnaires' disease, caused by Legionella bacteria, is a factor in community and healthcare acquired pneumonia. Legionella infection occurs from manmade water sources, including water aerosolized from cooling towers, spa pools, and water features, and from plumbing in hotels, workplaces, and healthcare facilities (1), where patients can be more susceptible to infection (1).

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) causes coronavirus disease (COVID-19), which also can cause pneumonia. Clinically differentiating Legionnaires' disease from COVID-19 requires laboratory diagnostics, such as urine antigen testing, PCR, and culture. The clinical focus on SARS-CoV-2 potentially causes underdiagnosis of L. pneumophila because clinicians might not suspect or investigate the bacterium, but co-infections have been reported. Documented co-infections in COVID-19 patients include human metapneumovirus (2), influenza (3), Chlamydia pneumoniae, Mycoplasma pneumoniae, non-COVID-19 coronavirus, enterovirus, rhinovirus, parainfluenza, and respiratory syncytial virus (4), and L. pneumophila in a case associated with a cruise ship (5). Rapid identification of co-infections is essential for managing and treating severe COVID-19 cases (6). We describe 2 cases of SARS-CoV-2 and L. pneumophila co-infection in patients admitted to hospitals in the United Kingdom.

In February 2020, a female patient >65 years of age was admitted in Addisonian crisis. She was dis-

charged to home but was readmitted to the same ward 8 days later with pneumonia. Her chest radiograph demonstrated minor bibasal opacity. The patient was prescribed amoxicillin/clavulanate and clarithromycin at admission for suspected bacterial infection; only clarithromycin would be effective against Legionella infection. No L. pneumophila nor SARS-CoV-2 testing was performed at admission and blood culture showed no growth. After initial clinical improvement, the patient's respiratory status deteriorated on day 10 and her chest radiograph showed extensive bilateral infiltrates. She tested positive for L. pneumophila by BinaxNOW Legionella Urinary antigen test (Alere, https://immuview.com) and for SARS-CoV-2 by PCR of nose and throat swab samples. L. pneumophila was confirmed by using Legionella Urinary Antigen EIA (Bartels, https://www.trinitybiotech.com) and Rapid Test Kit BinaxNOW Enzyme Immunoassay (EIA; Alere) on urine. No confirmatory lower respiratory samples were obtained. Despite antimicrobial drug and supportive treatment, the patient did not improve and was transitioned to palliative measures. She died 20 days after admission. We used culture to test water from all outlets on the ward; all were negative for L. pneumophila serogroup 1. We could not determine whether L. pneumophila and SARS-CoV-2 co-infection occurred in the community before hospital admission or in the hospital setting.

Another co-infection occurred in a woman >80 years of age with a history of hypertension and chronic kidney disease who resided with her family in the community. She was admitted with dyspnea, hypoxia, and acute-on-chronic kidney injury in April 2020. Her chest radiograph demonstrated bilateral mid- and lowerzone consolidation, predominantly peripheral. The patient was prescribed amoxicillin/clavulanate and clarithromycin for suspected bacterial infection. The patient deteriorated over the subsequent 24 hours with progressive hypoxia despite maximal oxygen therapy. A nasal swab collected at admission tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 by PCR. Blood cultures collected at admission were negative. Per guidelines for testing for pneumonia, urine collected on day 2 after admission tested positive by BinaxNOW Legionella Urinary Antigen Test (Alere) and was confirmed with EIA as in the prior case; urinary pneumococcal antigen test was negative. No lower respiratory samples for confirmatory Legionella culture and typing were obtained. The treatment strategy was transitioned to palliative measures, and she died 5 days after admission. We assume this patient acquired both L. pneumophila and COVID-19 in the community, but she had no apparent epidemiologic risk for L. pneumophila, such as travel.

Our study is limited by the low number of cases and the lack of lower respiratory specimens, which prevented confirmation and identification of *L. pneumophila* infection by PCR or culture. Nonetheless, *Legionella* testing of patients and water systems should not be neglected during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Healthcare facilities and clinicians should continue to adhere to recommended protocols for *L. pneumophila* infection prevention and diagnosis.

Even during the COVID-19 pandemic, patients are at risk for *L. pneumophila* infection in community and healthcare settings. Because periods of water system disuse can permit *Legionella* to grow and increase risk for infection (1), pandemic measures, such as temporary closure and reopening of buildings, could increase risk for *Legionella* exposure. Healthcare facilities should follow national guidance for managing *Legionella* during the COVID-19 pandemic and consider publications from the European Society for Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Disease European Study Group for *Legionella* Infections (7).

Hospital-acquired *L. pneumophila* cases and outbreaks can have higher fatality rates than community-acquired single cases (8). Recent data indicates bacterial co-infection in SARS-CoV-2 cases is uncommon in patients newly admitted to the hospital (9). However, effects of *L. pneumophila* co-infection on COVID-19 mortality rates is not yet known. Large outbreaks might be missed because of reduced testing or less consideration for *L. pneumophila* infection in differential diagnosis. Clinicians should maintain *Legionella* testing and conduct patient investigations where clinically indicated during the pandemic.

In conclusion, patients with SARS-CoV-2 might be at increased risk for other community- or health-care-acquired infections. Clinicians should be aware of possible *L. pneumophila* infections among SARS-CoV-2 patients.

#### **About the Author**

Dr. Chalker is head of the Respiratory and Vaccine Preventable Bacteria Reference Laboratory in the United Kingdom Health Security Agency. Her research interests include molecular epidemiology and genomics of *Legionella* and other pathogens, clinical diagnostics, and outbreak analysis and control.

### References

 Phin N, Parry-Ford F, Harrison T, Stagg HR, Zhang N, Kumar K, et al. Epidemiology and clinical management of Legionnaires' disease. Lancet Infect Dis. 2014;14:1011–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(14)70713-3

#### RESEARCH LETTERS

- Touzard-Romo F, Tapé C, Lonks JR. Co-infection with SARS-CoV-2 and human metapneumovirus. R I Med J. 2020;103:75–6. PubMed
- 3. Wu X, Cai Y, Huang X, Yu X, Zhao L, Wang F, et al. Co-infection with SARS-CoV-2 and influenza A virus in patient with pneumonia, China. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020;26:1324–6. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2606.200299
- Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, Crawford JM, McGinn T, Davidson KW, et al. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5700 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in the New York City area. JAMA. 2020;323:2052–59. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.6775
- Arashiro T, Nakamura S, Asami T, Mikuni H, Fujiwara E, Sakamoto S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 and *Legionella* co-infection in a person returning from a Nile Cruise. J Travel Med. 2020;27:taaa053. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1093/jtm/taaa053
- Cox MJ, Loman N, Bogaert D, O'Grady J. Co-infections: potentially lethal and unexplored in COVID-19. Lancet Microbe.2020;1:e11. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2666-5247(20)30009-4
- ESCMID. ESCMID Study Group for Legionella Infections [cited 2020 Sep 24]. https://www.escmid.org/research\_projects/ study\_groups/study\_groups\_g\_n/legionella\_infections
- Public Health England. Legionnaires' disease in residents of England and Wales: 2016 [cited 2020 May 6]. https://www. gov.uk/government/publications/legionnaires-disease-inresidents-of-england-and-wales-2016
- Adler H, Ball R, Fisher M, Mortimer K, Vardhan MS. Low rate of bacterial co-infection in patients with COVID-19. Lancet Microbe. 2020;1:e62. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2666-5247(20)30036-7

Address for correspondence: Vicki Chalker, United Kingdom Health Security Agency, 61 Colindale Ave, London NW9 5EQ, UK; email: Vicki.chalker@phe.gov.uk

## Invasive Malaria Vector Anopheles stephensi Mosquitoes in Sudan, 2016–2018

Ayman Ahmed, Patricia Pignatelli, Arwa Elaagip, Muzamil M. Abdel Hamid, Omnia Fateh Alrahman, David Weetman

Author affiliations: Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK (A. Ahmed, P. Pignatelli, D. Weetman); University of Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan (A. Ahmed, A. Elaagip, M.M. Abdel Hamid, O. Fateh Alrahman)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2711.210400

Anopheles stephensi mosquitoes are urban malaria vectors in Asia that have recently invaded the Horn of Africa. We detected emergence of An. stephensi mosquitoes in 2 noncontiguous states of eastern Sudan. Results of mitochondrial DNA sequencing suggest the possibility of distinct invasions, potentially from a neighboring country.

Anopheles stephensi mosquitoes are efficient vectors of *Plasmodium vivax* and *P. falciparum*. Their native range centers on the Indian subcontinent, from which they are increasingly expanding their geographic distribution (1). Recent establishment in Ethiopia (2) and Djibouti (3) is especially worrying. We document the emergence of *An. stephensi* mosquitoes in Sudan.

Among study sites in a study originally investigating insecticide resistance in the dominant malaria vector in Sudan, Anopheles arabiensis mosquitoes, we selected 12 sites in the eastern half of the country to represent the different ecologic zones (Appendix Figure 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/ EID/article/27/11/21-0400-App1.pdf). We collected Anopheles spp. larvae from all sites in 2016 and from most again in late 2017 or early 2018 (Appendix Table 1). We reared the larvae to adults, checked them morphologically, and initially identified the species as An. gambiae s.l. We extracted DNA from a subset for molecular identification of the species by PCR (3). Of these, 149 DNA samples failed to amplify when we used the standard protocol for identification of the An. gambiae complex, and we investigated them further. We performed mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase 1 amplification and sequencing by using the universal primers C1-J-2183 and TL2-N-3014 on the first batch (4); to provide conformity with other studies in East Africa, we used Folmer primers LCO1490 and HCO2198 on a second batch (4). To confirm species identity, we performed BLAST (https://blast.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/Blast.cgi) searches. We supplemented sequences generated for the mosquitoes from Sudan by using the Folmer primers with sequences from other studies downloaded from GenBank, assembled them by using Clustal within MEGAX (5), and displayed the results as a maximum-likelihood tree with 1,000 bootstraps.

Sequence analysis demonstrated that many of the samples failing diagnostic PCR were not *An. gambiae* s.l. mosquitoes; most samples identified by BLAST were *An. stephensi* mosquitoes (Appendix Table 1). The relative frequencies of *An. stephensi* mosquito detection were similarly high (>40%) among those