

disease in suspected cases of monkeypox. Clinicians should be aware of the possibility for misdiagnosis related to these viral infections.

This work was supported by the Ministry of Health of Argentina as part of the surveillance program for monkeypox virus.

About the Author

Dr. Lewis is head of the Electron Microscopy Laboratory in the Virology Department, INEI- ANLIS “Dr. Carlos G. Malbran.” His primary research focus is poxvirus virology and emerging virus surveillance.

References

1. Bunge EM, Hoet B, Chen L, Lienert F, Weidenthaler H, Baer LR, et al. The changing epidemiology of human monkeypox – a potential threat? A systematic review. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2022;16:e0010141. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010141>
2. Argentina Ministry of Health. Data collection tabs for notification [in Spanish]. 2022 [cited 2022 Jun 22]. <https://www.argentina.gob.ar/salud/epidemiologia/fichas>
3. Laue M, Bannert N. Detection limit of negative staining electron microscopy for the diagnosis of bioterrorism-related micro-organisms. *J Appl Microbiol*. 2010;109:1159–68. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2010.04737.x>
4. Ropp SL, Jin Q, Knight JC, Massung RF, Esposito JJ. PCR strategy for identification and differentiation of small pox and other orthopoxviruses. *J Clin Microbiol*. 1995;33:2069–76. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.33.8.2069-2076.1995>
5. Cisterna DM, Lema CL, Martinez LM, Verón E, Contarino LP, Acosta D, et al. Atypical hand, foot, and mouth disease caused by Coxsackievirus A6 in Argentina in 2015. *Rev Argent Microbiol*. 2019;51:140–3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ram.2018.05.003>
6. Perez Duque M, Ribeiro S, Martins JV, Casaca P, Leite PP, Tavares M, et al. Ongoing monkeypox virus outbreak, Portugal, 29 April to 23 May 2022. *Euro Surveill*. 2022;27. <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2022.27.22.2200424>
7. Antinori A, Mazzotta V, Vita S, Carletti F, Tacconi D, Lapini LE, et al.; INMI Monkeypox Group. Epidemiological, clinical and virological characteristics of four cases of monkeypox support transmission through sexual contact, Italy, May 2022. *Euro Surveill*. 2022;27. <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2022.27.22.2200421>
8. Lizasoain A, Piegas S, Victoria M, Da Silva EE, Colina R. Hand-foot-and-mouth disease in uruguay: coxsackievirus A6 identified as causative of an outbreak in a rural childcare center. *J Med Virol*. 2020;92:167–73. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25590>
9. Luchs A, Azevedo LS, Souza EV, Medeiros RS, Souza YFVP, Teixeira DLF, et al. Coxsackievirus A6 strains causing an outbreak of hand-foot-and-mouth disease in Northeastern Brazil in 2018. *Rev Inst Med Trop São Paulo*. 2022;64:e16. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s1678-9946202264016>

Address for correspondence: Daniel M. Cisterna, Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Infecciosas, ANLIS, “Dr. Carlos G. Malbran,” Av. Velez Sarsfield 563 (1282AFF), Buenos Aires, Argentina; email: dcisterna@anlis.gob.ar

Renewed Risk for Epidemic Typhus Related to War and Massive Population Displacement, Ukraine

Paul N. Newton, Pierre-Edouard Fournier, Dennis Tappe, Allen L. Richards

Author affiliations: University of Oxford, Oxford, UK (P.N. Newton); Mahidol–Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit, Bangkok, Thailand (P.N. Newton), Institut Hospitalo-Universitaire Méditerranée Infection, Marseille, France (P.-E. Fournier), Bernhard-Nocht-Institut für Tropenmedizin, Hamburg, Germany (D. Tappe); Allen L. Richards Consulting, Damascus, Maryland, USA (A.L. Richards)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2810.220776>

Epidemic typhus, caused by *Rickettsia prowazekii* bacteria and transmitted through body lice (*Pediculus humanus corporis*), was a major public health threat in Eastern Europe as a consequence of World War II. In 2022, war and the resulting population displacement in Ukraine risks the return of this serious disease.

The war in Ukraine has produced devastation in the region unseen since World War II. Epidemic typhus, one of the diseases that ravaged Europe during that period and before, but nearly forgotten in 2022, risks returning because of war and massive population displacement. History suggests that planning is needed to prevent this disease from aggravating the current war-induced public health crisis. Epidemic typhus (also called louse-borne typhus) is caused by *Rickettsia prowazekii* bacteria and is transmitted through the feces of body lice (*Pediculus humanus corporis*) that live in clothes. Before the advent of antibiotics, mortality rates from epidemic typhus reached 60%, especially in persons who were elderly and malnourished. The disease can be reactivated, in the absence of lice, after many decades as Brill–Zinsser disease, which can lead rapidly to further epidemics if patients become infested with body lice (1).

Epidemic typhus is associated with war, poverty, homelessness, cold weather, crowding, unsanitary conditions, and refugee camps. The disease has generated very little recent public awareness; the most recent regional outbreak reported in the public domain in English occurred in Russia in 1997 (2).

During World War II, Ukraine and adjacent countries were ravaged by epidemic typhus, especially the Jewish populations who were forced

into ghettos. The city of Lviv in western Ukraine was a center for typhus vaccine research, especially through the work of Fleck and Weigl (3). Ukraine now has an estimated 1.8 million persons ≥ 80 years of age (4), some of whom may have contracted *R. prowazekii* infection during the 1940s and are at risk for Brill-Zinsser disease. Body louse infestations among refugee and sheltering populations, living in overcrowded and unsanitary conditions because of war, may trigger epidemics of *R. prowazekii* infection. A further risk for these populations is infection with *Bartonella quintana* bacteria, the cause of trench fever, that is also transmitted by body lice.

The public health services of Ukraine and the Eastern Europe region face multiple threats. Does the current epidemic typhus risk warrant timely surveillance to curtail outbreaks? Public health organizations, including and organizations caring for refugees, might consider sending body louse specimens collected from patients for *R. prowazekii* PCR testing (a list of laboratories that can perform this test is available from the authors) to give early warning of outbreaks. When body lice are detected, these organizations could consider community treatment, including delousing and the administration of ivermectin (1,5) and doxycycline, while assays are performed.

Because PCR testing and tetracycline drugs are now available, we can respond in such dire circumstances to prevent *R. prowazekii* outbreaks before they occur. Public health officials could institute a system analogous to that for surveillance and control of plague and fleas. We now have the tools and treatments that can make it possible to avert and mitigate epidemic typhus outbreaks.

P.N. Newton is funded by the Wellcome Trust.

About the Author

Dr. Newton is an infectious disease research doctor and founding director of the Lao-Oxford-Mahosot Hospital Wellcome Trust Research Unit, Mahosot Hospital, Vientiane, Laos, now based in Center for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Oxford, UK.

References

1. Bechah Y, Capo C, Mege JL, Raoult D. Epidemic typhus. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2008;8:417-26. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(08\)70150-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(08)70150-6)
2. Tarasevich I, Rydkina E, Raoult D. Outbreak of epidemic typhus in Russia. *Lancet*. 1998;352:1151. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(05\)79799-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)79799-3)
3. Allen A. *The fantastic laboratory of Dr. Weigl*. New York: WW Norton; 2014.
4. Knoema. World data atlas. Ukraine – total population aged 75 years and over [cited 2022 Aug 24]. <https://knoema.com/atlas/Ukraine/topics/Demographics/Age/Population-aged-75-years>
5. Foucault C, Ranque S, Badiaga S, Rovey C, Raoult D, Brouqui P. Oral ivermectin in the treatment of body lice. *J Infect Dis*. 2006;193:474-6. <https://doi.org/10.1086/499279>

Address for correspondence: Paul Newton, Center for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Nuffield Department of Medicine, Oxford University, Oxford OX3 7LG, UK; email: paul.newton@ndm.ox.ac.uk

Effectiveness of Booster and Influenza Vaccines against COVID-19 among Healthcare Workers, Taiwan

Jun Yi Sim, Ping-Sheng Wu, Ching-Feng Cheng, You-Chen Chao, Chun-Hsien Yu

Author affiliations: Taipei Tzu Chi Hospital, Buddhist Tzu Chi Medical Foundation, Taipei, Taiwan; and Tzu Chi University, Hualien, Taiwan

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2810.221134>

Among previously uninfected healthcare workers in Taiwan, mRNA COVID-19 booster vaccine was associated with lower odds of COVID-19 after primary recombinant vaccine. Symptom-triggered testing revealed that tetra-valent influenza vaccine was associated with higher odds of SARS-CoV-2 infection. COVID-19 vaccination continues to be most effective against SARS-CoV-2.

Border control, contact tracing, and adherence to nonpharmaceutical interventions enabled Taiwan to contain COVID-19 for >2 years (1). From the beginning of the pandemic in 2020 through March 31, 2022, Taiwan had just 16,224 domestic COVID-19 cases, an incidence of 0.07% for a population of 23.6 million (2). In this backdrop, we found no COVID-19 cases among healthcare workers (HCWs) at Taipei Tzu Chi Hospital, Taipei,